



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,310	12/01/2003	Satoshi Okano	KON-1837	2916

20311 7590 10/20/2006

LUCAS & MERCANTI, LLP  
475 PARK AVENUE SOUTH  
15TH FLOOR  
NEW YORK, NY 10016

EXAMINER

LE, HOA VAN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1752

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

10/725,310

12/01/2003

SATOSHI OKANO

KON-1837

EXAMINER
----------

HOA VAN LE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1752

18 October 2006

DATE MAILED:

### INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Donald C Lucas

(3)

(2) HOA VAN LE

(4)

Date of Interview 18 October 2006

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: All

Identification of prior art discussed: Kuykendall (6,534,253) and Kamada et al (5,534,395)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant may be

(1) amended the claims to contain specific amidazol being distinct from those in Kamada et al at col. 3:5-15. (2) provide an advantage of the claimed composition over those of Kuykendall et al with respect to a composition stability such as physical observation or (3) shown a better whiteness in degree than just whiteness or stain because the rejection on the record is a combined teachings and suggestions of the references. The use of an imidazole for whiteness in a bleach-forming composition

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview: is disclosed, taught and suggested

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. in Kamada et al. Therefore, general whiteness and stain are expected

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked. but not unexpected.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

HOA VAN LE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

HOA Van Le